UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

PATSY JAY,

Case No. 3:23-cv-00656-SI

Plaintiff,

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

v.

GRAND MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., EVERGREEN GARDENS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, JERRY MASCOLO, LEONDRA COLEMAN, and DAWN COCKRUM,

Defendants.

One or more of the parties has requested the production of documents or information that at least one party considers to be or to contain confidential information, and that are subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

The parties agree that good cause exists to protect the confidential nature of the information contained in documents, interrogatory responses, responses to requests for

Page 1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449 (503) 228-7967

admission, or deposition testimony. This action concerns Plaintiff's claims against Defendants, her landlords, for violations of the Fair Housing Act, including claims for Disability Discrimination, Hostile Living Environment and "Interference, Coercion, Intimidation," as well as a state law negligence claim. The scope of a landlord's liability for tenant-on-tenant harassment is contested, with some courts holding that landlords can only be liable for harassment when they have "substantial control" over the harasser and premises, and other courts recognizing liability when the landlord knew or should have known of the harassment, had the power to take some corrective action, and failed to do so. See Johnson v. Brenneke, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 61098 (D. Or. Feb. 23, 2022). Plaintiff also seeks punitive damages, which require proof that Defendants' conduct was malicious, oppressive or in reckless disregard of the plaintiff's rights. Conduct is in reckless disregard of the plaintiff's rights if, under the circumstances, it reflects complete indifference to the plaintiff's safety or rights, or if the defendant acts in the face of a perceived risk that its actions will violate the plaintiff's rights under federal law. Central to Plaintiff's claims is evidence that Defendants knew about previous tenant complaints of harassing behavior by John McKnight, how Defendants responded to those complaints, and that Defendants responded to Plaintiff's and past tenants' complaints with discriminatory intent.

The parties expect to exchange documents and information related to: current and former tenants of Evergreen Gardens of a personal and sensitive nature; and, the employment and/or tenancy of individuals formerly associated with Defendant Grand Management Services, Inc. ("GMS") and/or Evergreen Gardens that are not parties to this litigation. Defendant GMS is willing to provide these materials for inspection and review only under a Protective Order upon

Page 2 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Case 3:23-cv-00656-SI Document 19 Filed 04/17/24 Page 3 of 10

the stated terms and conditions of this Order. The parties agree that the entry of this Stipulated

Protective Order ("Protective Order") is warranted to protect against disclosure of such

documents and information.

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and the Court being duly advised,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. All documents, testimony, and other materials produced by the parties in this

case and labeled "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" shall be used only in this

proceeding.

2. Use of any information or documents labeled "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes

Only" and subject to this Protective Order, including all information derived therefrom, shall be

restricted solely to the litigation of this case and shall not be used by any party for any business,

commercial, or competitive purpose. This Protective Order, however, does not restrict the

disclosure or use of any information or documents lawfully obtained by the receiving party

through means or sources outside of this litigation. Should a dispute arise as to any specific

information or document, the burden shall be on the party claiming that such information or

document was lawfully obtained through means and sources outside of this litigation.

3. The parties, and third parties subpoenaed by one of the parties, may designate as

"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" documents, testimony, written responses, or other

materials produced in this case only if they contain information that the producing party has a

good faith basis for asserting is confidential under the applicable legal standards. The party shall

designate each page of the document with a stamp identifying it as "Confidential" or "Attorneys'

Eyes Only," if practical to do so.

Page 3 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449

(503) 228-7967

4. If portions of documents or other materials deemed "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" or any papers containing or making reference to such materials are filed with the Court, they shall be filed under seal and marked as follows or in substantially similar form:

CONFIDENTIAL
IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE
ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS CONFIDENTIAL AND
SHALL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY PERSON OTHER THAN
THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 7 OF THE
PROTECTIVE ORDER.

or

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE
ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS FOR ATTORNEYS'
EYES ONLY AND SHALL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY PERSON
OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH
8 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.

If a party is filing a document that it has itself designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," that party shall reference this Stipulated Protective Order in submitting the documents it proposes to maintain under seal. If a non-designating party is filing a document that another party has designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," then the non-designating party shall file the document under seal. If the non-designating party makes a request in writing to have the document unsealed and designating party does not file, within ten calendar days, a motion that shows good cause to maintain the document under seal, then the Court shall unseal the document. Before seeking to maintain the protection of documents filed with the Court, a party must assess whether redaction is a viable alternative to complete nondisclosure.

5. Within thirty (30) days after receipt of the final transcript of the deposition of any party or witness in this case, a party or the witness may designate as "Confidential" or "Attorneys'

Page 4 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449 (503) 228-7967 Eyes Only" any portion of the transcript that the party or witness contends discloses confidential

information. If a transcript containing any such material is filed with the Court, it shall be filed

under seal and marked in the manner described in paragraph 4. Unless otherwise agreed, all

deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" until the expiration of the thirty-day

period.

6. "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" information and documents subject to

this Protective Order shall not be filed with the Court or included in whole or in part in

pleadings, motions, briefs, etc., filed in this case, except when any portion(s) of such pleadings,

motions, briefs, etc. have been filed under seal by counsel and marked in the same manner as

described in paragraph 4 above. Such sealed portion(s) of pleadings, motions, briefs,

documents, etc., shall be opened only by the Court or by personnel authorized to do so by the

Court.

7. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked

"Confidential," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the

following persons, who agree to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order, unless

additional persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court:

a. Outside counsel of record for the parties, and the administrative staff of outside

counsel's firms.

b. In-house counsel for the parties, and the administrative staff for each in-house

counsel.

c. Any party to this action who is an individual, and every employee, director, officer, or manager of any party to this action who is not an individual, but only

to the extent necessary to further the interest of the parties in this litigation.

d. Independent consultants or expert witnesses (including partners, associates and employees of the firm which employs such consultant or expert) retained by a

party or its attorneys for purposes of this litigation, but only to the extent

Page 5 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449

(503) 228-7967

necessary to further the interest of the parties in this litigation.

e. The Court and its personnel, including, but not limited to, stenographic reporters regularly employed by the Court and stenographic reporters not regularly employed by the Court who are engaged by the Court or the parties during the litigation of this action.

- f. The authors and the original recipients of the documents.
- g. Any court reporter or videographer reporting a deposition.
- h. Employees of copy services, microfilming or database services, trial support firms and/or translators who are engaged by the parties during the litigation of this action.
- 8. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked "Attorneys' Eyes Only," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the persons listed in paragraphs 7(a), 7(b), 7(d), 7(e), 7(g) and 7(h), unless additional persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court.
- 9. Prior to being shown any documents produced by another party marked "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," any person listed under paragraph 7(c) or 7(d) shall agree to be bound by the terms of this Order by signing the agreement attached as Exhibit A.
- 10. Whenever information designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to this Protective Order is to be discussed by a party or disclosed in a deposition, hearing, or pre-trial proceeding, the designating party may exclude from the room any person, other than persons designated in paragraphs 7 and 8, as appropriate, for that portion of the deposition, hearing or pre-trial proceeding.
- 11. Each party reserves the right to dispute the "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" status claimed by any other party or subpoenaed party in accordance with this Protective Order. If a party believes that any documents or materials have been inappropriately designated by another party or subpoenaed party, that party shall confer with counsel for the designating

Page 6 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

party. As part of that conferral, the designating party must assess whether redaction is a viable

alternative to complete non-disclosure. If the parties are unable to resolve the matter informally,

a party may file an appropriate motion before the Court requesting that the Court determine

whether the Protective Order covers the document in dispute. Regardless of which party files the

motion, the party seeking to protect a document from disclosure bears the burden of establishing

good cause for why the document should not be disclosed. A party who disagrees with another

party's designation must nevertheless abide by that designation until the matter is resolved by

agreement of the parties or by order of the Court.

12. The inadvertent failure to designate a document, testimony, or other material as

"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" prior to disclosure shall not operate as a waiver of the

party's right to later designate the document, testimony, or other material as "Confidential" or

"Attorneys' Eyes Only." The receiving party or its counsel shall not disclose such documents or

materials if that party or counsel knows or reasonably should know that a claim of confidentiality

would be made by the producing party. Promptly after receiving notice from the producing party

of a claim of confidentiality, the receiving party or its counsel shall inform the producing party

of all pertinent facts relating to the prior disclosure of the newly-designated documents or

materials, and shall make reasonable efforts to retrieve such documents and materials and to

prevent further disclosure.

13. Designation by either party of information or documents as "Confidential"

or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," or failure to so designate, will not constitute an admission that

information or documents are or are not confidential or trade secrets. Neither party may

introduce into evidence in any proceeding between the parties, other than a motion to

determine whether the Protective Order covers the information or documents in dispute,

Page 7 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449 (503) 228-7967

7872207.1

Case 3:23-cv-00656-SI Document 19 Filed 04/17/24 Page 8 of 10

the fact that the other party designated or failed to designate information or documents as

"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only."

14. Upon the request of the producing party or third party, within 30 days after

the entry of a final judgment no longer subject to appeal on the merits of this case, or the

execution of any agreement between the parties to resolve amicably and settle this case,

the parties and any person authorized by this Protective Order to receive confidential

information shall return to the producing party or third party, or destroy, all information and

documents subject to this Protective Order. Returned materials shall be delivered in sealed

envelopes marked "Confidential" to respective counsel. The party requesting the return of

materials shall pay the reasonable costs of responding to its request.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, counsel for a party may retain archival copies of confidential

documents.

15. This Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of any party's or nonparty's

right to oppose any discovery request or object to the admissibility of any document, testimony

or other information.

16. Nothing in this Protective Order shall prejudice any party from seeking

amendments to expand or restrict the rights of access to and use of confidential information,

or other modifications, subject to order by the Court.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

17. The restrictions on disclosure and use of confidential information shall survive the conclusion of this action and this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action after its conclusion for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Protective Order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 17th day of April 2024.

OREGON LAW CENTER

By: /s/ Jamie Trinkle

Jamie Trinkle, OSB #192463

Email: jtrinkle@oregonlawcenter.org

Carly Cripps, OSB #223860

Email: ccripps@oregonlawcenter.org

Edward Johnson, OSB #965737

Email: ejohnson@oregonlawcenter.org

Emily Rena-Dozier, OSB #134674 Email: erena-dozier@oregonlawcenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff Patsy Jay

CORRIGALL & McCLINTOCK

By: /s/ Nathan B. McClintock

Nathan B. McClintock, OSB #941520 Email: nmcclintock@epuerto.us

Attorney for Defendant Evergreen Gardens

Limited Partnership

WILLIAMS KASTNER

By: /s/ Heidi L. Mandt

Heidi L. Mandt, OSB #953459

Email: hmandt@williamskastner.com

Attorneys for Defendants Grand Management

Services, Inc., Jerry Mascolo, Leondra Coleman, and Dawn Cockrum

The Court has reviewed the reasons offered in support of entry of this Stipulated Protective Order and finds that there is good cause to protect the confidential nature of certain information. Accordingly, the Court adopts the above Stipulated Protective Order in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Judge Michael Simon

Page 9 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449 (503) 228-7967

EXHIBIT A

I,		_, certify, agree and affirm that I have read the
Stipulated Protective (Order dated	, 2024, entered by the United
States District Court,	District of Oregon in the	e matter of Patsy Jay v. Grand Management
Services, Inc., Evergre	een Gardens Limited Parti	nership, Jerry Mascolo, Leondra Coleman and
Dawn Cockrum, Case	e No. 3:23-cv-00656-SI.	I further certify that I fully understand the
procedural and substa	ntive requirements of that	Stipulated Order, a copy of which is attached
hereto.		
Before reviewi	ng or receiving access to a	ny document, transcript, testimony, information
and/or other materials	s subject to the protection	on of that Order, and as a condition for such
disclosure, review and	d/or access, I understand	and agree that I am personally bound by and
subject to all of the te	rms and provisions of the	Order. I hereby subject myself to the personal
jurisdiction and venue	of the United States Dist	trict Court, District of Oregon, for purposes of
enforcement of the Or	der.	
DATED This _	day of	, 2024.
	Na: Titl	me: le: